UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721;176	11/26/2003	Jae Hoon Ha	K-0577	6609
34610 KED & ASSO	7590 07/13/2007		EXAMINER	
KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP P.O. Box 221200			HUSBAND, SARAH E	
Chantilly, VA	20153-1200		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/721,176	HA, JAE HOON			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sarah E. Husband	1746			
	· The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Apr</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 4/17/2007, with respect to the specification have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the specification has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 4/17/2007, with respect to the 112 rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. In light of the amendments, the 112 rejection of claim 6-11 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/17/2007 regarding the 102 rejection have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argues that Fumagalli does not disclose the dishwasher including a driver that determines a first position of the valve and turns the valve to a second based on the determined first position. However, this is not persuasive because "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (emphasis in original). There is no structural support in the claim language for a driver to accomplish this. Therefore, since Applicant claims a 'driver' and Fumagalli clearly discloses a driver (7), which is controlled by a controller and capable of determining position, Fumagalli anticipates the claimed invention.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/17/2007 regarding the 103 rejection have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the driver shown by McDonald is not capable of determining a position of the valve. However, again, this argument is not

Art Unit: 1746

persuasive because McDonald shows the same structure (a driver as claimed by Applicant) and would therefore be capable of performing the same function especially since in order for the dishwasher to operate properly, the specific positions of the driver and valves must be known and coordinated based on the specified cycle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The Applicant has amended the claim 14 to include the limitation "a sensor that senses a current position of the valve". This limitation is not described in the specification and is not present in the drawings. Nowhere does it describe sensing a position of the valve. The "sensing unit" is described as contacting the cam and as a result controlling the motor. The Applicant is not actively sensing the position of the valve.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fumagalli (EP 0795292).

Fumagalli discloses a dishwasher having a washing chamber (1), top and bottom nozzles (12, 11), a sump (3), pump (4), supply pipe, upper and lower pipes connected to the supply pipe to deliver to top and bottom nozzles (55, 56), a valve (diverter, 6) rotatably installed at the connecting portion between the supply pipe and upper and lower pipes and a motor (7). Fumagalli further discloses the valve has a semi-cylindrical shape with upper and lower ends coupled between the supply pipe (which extends from the pump) and the upper and lower pipes (Fig. 1). Fumagalli also describes fluid flow controlled to the upper and/or lower pipes (col. 5, ll. 50-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDonald (US Patent No. 2,597,359).

McDonald discloses a dishwasher having top and bottom nozzles, sump, pump, supply pipe and upper and lower pipes (Fig. 1, col. 3). McDonald also discloses a valve (52) and driving means (56, 54, 82). McDonald further discloses the valve is semi cylindrical (Fig.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,176 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

3) and the motor rotates a rotational shaft (78) connected to the valve, by various electrical functions and connectors (Fig. 5, col. 5, line 60-col. 6, line 30). McDonald further shows a cam (94) which rotates with the valve (col. 6) and having sections of different radius (see Fig. 5) and a sensing unit (96) brought into contact with the cam. Although McDonald doesn't disclose a step motor, a 2rpm motor is disclosed, which have been known to be step motors and also does have a specific rotation, which would suggest a step motor. McDonald also discloses a button (96, 86), which is connected to a switching unit (129) and stops the motor (col. 5-6). The cam radii disclosed by McDonald would also cause the button to compress and restore.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not disclose the dishwasher having a valve, driver, cam and sensing unit which has a button, switch and a lever provided between the cam and button and the lever contacts the outer circumference of the cam and compresses or restores the button based on a portion of the outer circumference of the cam which is contacted by the lever.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1746

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah E. Husband whose telephone number is (571) 272-8387. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/721,176

Art Unit: 1746

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SEH